# Performance of mungbean as green manuring crop in agroforestry systems

M.A.M. Sarker<sup>1</sup>, M.M.U. Miah<sup>1</sup>, M.I. Hossain<sup>2</sup> and M.H.A. Amin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agroforestry, Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University,

Dinajpur, <sup>2</sup>Bangladesh Tea Research Institute (BTRI), Srimangal, Sylhet

**Abstract:** A field experiment was conducted at the Agroforestry Farm, Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Dinajpur during April to July 2005 to examine the performance of mungbean varieties as green manuring crop under different tree-crop agroforestry systems. The treatments of the experiment were three tree species with the control one viz. open field (T<sub>1</sub>), Mango (T<sub>2</sub>), Ghoraneem (T<sub>3</sub>), Ipil-ipil (T<sub>4</sub>) and five varieties of mungbean, viz.V<sub>1</sub> (BARI Mug-3), V<sub>2</sub> (BARI Mug-4), V<sub>3</sub> (BARI Mug-5), V<sub>4</sub> (BARI Mug-6) and V<sub>5</sub> (Local variety). Considering varietals performance, BARI Mug-6 showed the best performance compared to other varieties in respect of biomass contribution except root weight/plant at 50 DAS. In case of tree effect, the best contribution was found in T<sub>1</sub> (open field) and the lowest in T<sub>3</sub> (Ghoraneem) compared to other tree species in biomass production. Considering interaction effect, BARI Mug-6 was the best performer (V<sub>4</sub>T<sub>1</sub>combination) compared to other varieties over other varieties. The result clearly revealed that the production of mungbean under the agroforestry species ranked as Mango>Ipil-ipil>Ghoraneem and the best variety BARI Mug-5 for yield while BARI Mug-6 for biomass.

Key words: Mungbean varaities, Greenmanure, Biomass and Agroforestry

#### Introduction

Bangladesh is situated in the north eastern part of south Asia. To meet the food, shelters, fuel, fodder etc for a over populated country like Bangladesh, soils are intensively cultivated without considering soil health. Introduction of green manuring crops in different agroforestry systems, developed in the poor soils, is beneficial from the aspect of maintaining soil health. On the other hand, Bangladesh agriculture has been suffering from acute shortage of biomass to regenerate her soil from degradation or depletion of organic matter due to intensive cropping. The scale of reduction (0.01%/ year) dry basis of the level of organic matter is alarming. These problems can be solved partially through the incorporation of leaves litter of perennial species of agroforestry system. But the problem can be addressed significantly by growing green manuring crops at the beginning of agroforestry practice or to introduce green manuring crops in the cropping pattern. A huge amount of organic matter is added into crop land soil from green manuring crops cultivation than using farmyard manure and use of any other organic source (Ullah et al., 2002). Although green manuring crops give various adventitious status to soil and may cause the maximum production of above crops, but farmers are not interested as it has no direct economic return. Mungbean (Vigna radiata L. Wilczek) is one of the important pulse crops in Bangladesh for its high digestibility and good flavor flatulent effects. It ranks fifth in terms of area under production but first with respect to price (Rahman and Miah, 1988). It is an important source of different vitamins and minerals. Biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) technology in the form of Rhizobium inoculant is used mungbean, which can be an attractive alternative of expensive urea fertilizer. It can fix atmospheric nitrogen with the help of Rhizobium bacteria and increases the nitrogen nutrition in soil within profit/cost ratio of 27/1 (Sattar and Ahmed, 1992). Many works have done about Mungbean varieties in different aspect BARI, BINA released several varieties of Mungbean but performances of Mungbean varieties in agroforestry systems are not tested. With the view, the investigation was undertaken

to investigate the varital performance of five mungbean varieties especially for biomass contribution and grain yield in agroforestry system.

### **Materials and Methods**

The experiment was conducted in the Agroforestry Farm of Hajee Mohammed Danish Science and Technology University, Dinajpur during April to July 2005. The experimental site was medium high land, and the soil was sandy loam in texture belonging to the Old Himalayan Piedmont Plain under Agro-ecological zone 01. The pH of the soil was 5.1, organic matter content 1.06%, total nitrogen 0.103%, phosphorus 24.0 µg/g soil, potassium 0.26 me/100 g soil (Soil was previously tested by SRDI, Dinajpur). Treatments of the study were Factor A: Green manuring crops (mungbean varieties) viz.  $V_1 = BARI Mug-3, V_2 =$ BARI Mug-4,  $V_3 = BARI Mug-5$ ,  $V_4 = BARI Mug-$ 6, and  $V_5 =$  Local variety Factor **B**: Agroforestry species,  $T_1$  = Open field (control),  $T_2$  = Mango (Mangifera indica),  $T_3 =$  Ghoraneem (Melia azedarach) and  $T_4$  = Ipil-ipil (Leucaena leucocephala). The treatments were arranged in two factorial Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with four replications. The size of unit plot was  $2.5 \text{ m} \times 2.5$ m. Adjacent plots and neighboring blocks were separated by 0.5 m and 3.0 m respectively. The edge of the plot was 25 cm apart from the base of the trees as 3 m x 3 m spacing were maintained for tree plantation. The age of the trees was only one year although the growth was notable. The land preparation and intercultural operation were properly maintained while fertilizer was applied according to the recommended doses. Mature pods were plucked by hand time to time and final plucking was done at 75 days after sowing (DAS). After final plucking total biomass was incorporated into the soil (except pod). The data were collected at two times, first one was at 50 DAS and last one was at 75 DAS. Data were analyzed statistically following ANOVA technique and means separations were adjusted by DMRT test at 1% level of significance.

## **Results and Discussion**

**Shoot weight:** Significantly the highest shoot weight/plant (above ground biomass) at 50 and 75

DAS were recorded 16.56 g and 30.12 g respectively in  $V_4$  which was followed by  $V_3$  and then  $V_2$  (at 50 DAS 13.56 g and 12.99 g produced by V<sub>3</sub>, V<sub>2</sub> and 22.46 g, 22.00 g were produce by  $V_3$ ,  $V_2$  at 75 DAS respectively) although the value of shoot weight/plant in  $V_3$  and  $V_2$  was statistically identical (Table 1). Significantly the lowest shoot weight/plant was found in both 50 and 75 DAS in V<sub>5</sub>. At 50 DAS, effect of trees on shoot weight/plant of mungbean was insignificant (Table 2). Although numerically the highest shoot weight/plant was obtained in T<sub>1</sub> (open field) that was followed by  $T_3$  (Ghoraneem). The lowest value was found in  $T_4$  (Ipil-ipil). At 75 DAS, significantly the lowest shoot weight/plant (18.03g) was found under Ghoraneem  $(T_3)$  and the highest value of shoot weight/plant obtained from open field  $(T_1)$ . The second highest shoot weight/plant (19.89 g) was recorded under mango  $(T_2)$ . At 75 DAS significant effect was found due to various shade created by different canopy of MPTs. From the interaction effect, significantly the highest shoot weight/plant at 50 DAS and 75 DAS were recorded 17.76 g and 32.67 g respectively in  $V_4T_1$ . Significantly the lowest shoot weight/plant was recorded in both 50 DAS (6.39 g) and 75 DAS (8.55 g) in  $V_5T_3$  (Table 3). The reduction of shoot weight of mungbean under tree might be due to reduction of photosynthesis. Crookston et al. (1975) reported that shading reduced leaf number, leaf area and theachness of dry bean. They also reported 38% decrease in photosynthesis per unit area of shaded leaves.

Root weight: At 50 DAS, significantly the highest root weight/plant (6.44 g) was recorded in V<sub>2</sub> that was followed by  $V_4$  (5.67 g) and the lowest value was found in  $V_5$  (3.54 g). On the other hand,  $V_4$  produced significantly the highest root weight/plant (6.85 g) at 75 DAS that was followed by  $V_2$  (6.29 g). Significantly poor performance was found in V<sub>5</sub> (4.81 g) at 75 DAS (Table 1). The effect of trees on root weight/plant was found similar to that of weight/plant. At 50 DAS, effect of trees on root weight/plant of mungbean was insignificant (Table 2). Although numerically the highest root weight/plant was obtained in  $T_1$  (open field) that was followed by T<sub>3</sub> (ghoraneem). The lowest value was found in T<sub>4</sub> (Ipilipil).At 75 DAS, significantly the lowest root weight/plant (4.88g) was found under ghoraneem  $(T_3)$ and the highest value of root weight/plant obtained from open field (T<sub>1</sub>).The second highest root weight/plant (6.12g) was recorded under mango  $(T_2)$ . At 75 DAS, significant effect was found due to various shade created by different canopy of MPTs. From the combined effect, the highest root weight/plant at 50 DAS and 75 DAS was produced 7.72g & 8.78g respectively in  $V_2T_1$ . Significantly the lowest root weight/plant was produced in V<sub>5</sub>T<sub>3</sub> (2.37 g) at 50 DAS and in  $V_1T_3$  (3.15) at 75 DAS (Table 3).

Nodule weight: Significantly the highest nodule weight/plant was produced by  $V_4$  (0.38 g) and the

lowest value was found in  $V_5$  (0.22 g) at 50 DAS.The trend of variation in nodule weight/plant at 50 DAS was similar to root weight/plant at 75 DAS. At 75 DAS, maximum nodule weight/plant was found in V<sub>4</sub> (0.27 g) that was followed by  $V_3$  (0.18 g) and  $V_1$  (0.18 g). The lowest value was found in  $V_2$  (0.13 g) (Table 1). At 50 DAS, effect of trees on nodule weight/plant of mungbean was insignificant (Table 2). Although numerically the highest nodule weight/plant was obtained in  $T_1$  (open field) that was followed by  $T_3$ (ghoraneem). The lowest value was found in  $T_4$  (Ipilipil). At 75 DAS, significantly the lowest nodule weight/plant (0.15g) was found under ghoraneem ( $T_3$ ) and highest value of nodule weight/plant obtained from open field  $(T_1)$ . The second highest nodule weight/plant (0.19g) was recorded under mango  $(T_2)$ . At 75 DAS significant effect was found due to various shade created by different canopy of MPTs. In case of combined effect, the highest nodule weight/plant at 50 DAS was 0.43 g in  $V_4T_1$  which was followed by  $V_3T_4$ (0.34 g). The lowest value of the same at 50 DAS was 0.14 g in  $V_2T_3$ . The highest nodule weight/plant at 75 DAS was found in  $V_4T_1$  (0.34g) which was followed by  $V_4T_2$  (0.29 g). The lowest value of nodule weight/plant was 0.10 g at 75 DAS in  $V_2T_3$  (Table 3). Total biomass: Significantly the highest biomass/ plant at 50 DAS and 75 DAS were recorded 22.62 g and 45.26 g respectively in  $V_4$  which followed by  $V_3$ ,  $V_2$  (Table 1) although the value of biomass/plant in  $V_3$ (18.67 g at 50 DAS, 39.37 g at 75 DAS) and  $V_2$  (18.37 g at 50 DAS and 40.14 g at 75 DAS) was statistically identical. Significantly the lowest biomass/plant was found in both 50 DAS and 75 DAS in V<sub>5</sub>. Significantly the highest total biomass/ha at 50 DAS and 75 DAS were found 814.4 kg and 1629.65 kg respectively in  $V_4$  which followed by  $V_3$ ,  $V_2$  (Table 1) although the value of total biomass/ha in V<sub>3</sub> (672.2 kg at 50 DAS

and 1413.09 kg at 75 DAS) and  $V_2$  (673.7 kg at 50 DAS DAS and 1445.23 kg at 75 DAS) was statistically identical. The significantly the lowest total biomass/ha was found in both 50 DAS and 75 DAS in V<sub>5</sub>.

At 50 DAS, effect of trees on total biomass/plant of mungbean was insignificant (Table 2). Although numerically the highest total biomass/plant was obtained in  $T_1$  (open field) that was followed by  $T_3$ (ghoraneem). The lowest value was found in T<sub>4</sub> (Ipilipil). At 75 DAS, significantly the lowest total biomass/plant (30.88g) was found under ghoraneem  $(T_3)$  and the highest value of total biomass/plant obtained from open field  $(T_1)$ . The second highest total biomass/plant (35.40g) was recorded under mango (T<sub>2</sub>). At 75 DAS, significant effect was found due to various shade created by different canopy of MPTs. Significantly the highest total biomass/ha at 50 DAS and 75 DAS were recorded as 689.86 kg and 1441.23 kg respectively in  $T_1$  (Table 2) which was followed by T<sub>2</sub> (at 50 DAS 618.42 kg and at 75 DAS 1274.56 kg).

Variety	Shoot Weight/plant (g)		Root weight/plant (g)		Nodule weight/plant (g)		Total bioma production (	ss (Kg/ha)	Grain yield (Kg/ha)	
	50DAS	75DAS	50DAS	75DAS	50DAS	75DAS	50DAS	75DAS		
V1	10.47 c	16.21 c	3.58 d	4.88 c	0.24 c	0.18 b	507.7c	1018.17c	812.40b	
V <sub>2</sub>	12.99 b	22.00 b	6.44 a	6.29 b	0.28 b	0.13 c	673.7b	1445.23b	787.50c	
V <sub>3</sub>	13.56 b	22.46 b	4.80 c	6.18 b	0.29 b	0.18 b	672.2b	1413.09b	910.50a	
$V_4$	16.56 a	30.12 a	5.67 b	6.85 a	0.38 a	0.27 a	814.4a	1629.65a	774.80c	
V 5	7.07 d	9.72 d	3.54 d	4.81 c	0.22 c	0.15 c	392.9d	790.56d	382.00d	
Level of significance	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	

Table1. Effect of mungbean on biomass contribution as green manuring crop in agroforestry system.

Table 2. Effect of trees on biomass contribution of mungbean as green manuring crop in agroforestry system.

Tree species	Shoot weight/plant (g)		Root weight/plant (g)		Nodule weight/plant (g)		Total biomass production (Kg/ha)		Grain yield (Kg/ha)
	50DAS	75DAS	50DAS	75DAS	50DAS	75DAS	50DAS	75DAS	
T <sub>1</sub>	13.12	22.57a	5.7	6.93a	0.32	0.22a	689.86a	1441.23a	806.70a
$T_2$	11.86	19.89b	5.03	6.12b	0.27	0.19b	618.42b	1274.56b	754.80b
T <sub>3</sub>	11.89	18.03d	5.71	4.88d	0.25	0.15d	571.03c	1111.68c	669.10d
$T_4$	11.2	19.09c	4.29	5.67c	0.29	0.17c	569.57c	1209.89b	703.10c
Level of significance	NS	**	NS	**	NS	**	**	**	**

NS= Not significan

\*\* = Significant at 1% level of probability

Significantly the lowest value was measured as 569.57 kg at 50 DAS and 1111.68 kg at 75 DAS in  $T_4$  and  $T_3$ respectively. Significantly the highest total biomass/plant at 50 DAS was found 24.85 g in  $V_4T_1$ that was followed by  $V_4T_4$  (23.64 g) (Table 3). Significantly the lowest biomass/plant at 50 DAS was found in  $V_5T_3$  (9.00 g). Significantly the highest biomass/plant at 75 DAS was found 50.00 g in V<sub>4</sub>T<sub>1</sub> that was followed by  $V_4T_2$  (45.91 g). Significantly the lowest biomass/plant at 75 DAS recorded in V5T3 (19.22 g). Significantly the highest total biomass/ha at 50 DAS was measured as 894.85 kg in  $V_4T_1$  that was followed by  $V_4T_2$  and  $V_4T_3$  (Table 3). Although the value of total biomass/ha in  $V_4T_2$  (813.00 kg) and V<sub>4</sub>T<sub>3</sub> (806.60 kg) was statistically identical. At 75 DAS, the highest value was measured as 1800.00 kg in  $V_4T_1$  that was followed by  $V_4T_2$  (1652.85 kg) (Table 3). Although the value of total biomass/ha in  $V_4T_2$ (1652.85 kg) and  $V_2T_1$  (1689.86 kg) was statistically identical. The significantly lowest total biomass/ha was measured in both 50 DAS (328.15 kg) and 75 DAS (691.92 kg) in V<sub>5</sub>T<sub>3</sub>.

Planchon (1979) found similar biomass production in cereal crops. He reported that production of biomass and yield in crop plants largely depends on the function of leaf area and consequent photosynthetic activity. High photosynthetic rates are capable of producing high levels of root and shoot biomass.

**Grain yield:** Significantly highest yield/ha was measured as 910.50 kg in V<sub>3</sub> which was followed by V<sub>1</sub> (812.40kg). The value of yield/ha in V<sub>2</sub> (787.50kg) and V<sub>4</sub> (774.80kg) was statistically identical. The significantly lowest value of yield/ha was given by V<sub>5</sub> (382.00kg).Significantly the highest yield/ha was measured as 806.70 kg in T<sub>1</sub> that was followed by T<sub>2</sub> (754.80 kg). Significantly the lowest yield/ha (669.10 kg) was found in T<sub>3</sub> (Table 2).

Interaction effect provides significantly the highest yield/ha 1019.00 kg in  $V_3T_1$  followed by  $V_3T_2$ (966.00 kg). The value of yield/ha in  $V_2T_1$  (856.00 kg) and V<sub>3</sub>T<sub>4</sub> (851.60 kg) was statistically identical. Significantly the lowest yield/ha (320.00 kg) was recorded in V<sub>5</sub>T<sub>3</sub> (Table 3). Kumar et al. (1988) also observed the yield variation of forteen mungbean genotypes under reduced light caused by pearl millet. Considering the effects of interaction, the study reveled that  $V_3T_1$  combination performed the best in all yield parameters except number of pod/plant and number of seed/pod. Miah et al. (1995) reported that the mean light on crop rows decreased as they approached the tree rows across the alleys. The rate of decrease was greater than in pruned alleys. Rice and mungbean yield decreased more in unpruned

J. Agrofor. Environ. 1(2): 85-88, 2007

conditions (13 kg/ha) than in pruned condition (9 kg/ha).

Treatment combinations	Weig	ht/plant (g)	Root weight/plant (g)		Nodule weight/plant(g)		Total biomass Production (Kg/ha)		Grain yield
	50 DAS	75DAS	50DAS	75DAS	50 DAS	75DAS	50 DAS	75 DAS	(Kg/ha)
$V_1T_1$	10.71 ij	18.39 h	4.20 hi	5.24 fg	0.27c-g	0.20 e	547.03f	1172.88g	907.20c
$V_1T_2$	9.93 j	17.00 I	4.01 hi	4.90 gh	0.25fgh	0.16 gh	511.13fg	1082.16h	800.00ef
V <sub>1</sub> T3	11.76hi	14.30 j	2.28k	3.15 k	0.21 gh	0.14 ij	513.35fg	858.24jk	766.40fgh
$V_1T_4$	9.50 j	15.15 ј	3.04j	4.25 ij	0.22 gh	0.13ij	459.55gh	959.40i	776.00fg
$V_2T_1$	14.15ef	24.80 e	7.72 a	8.78 a	0.30 c-f	0.16 gh	798.60b	1689.86b	856.00d
$V_2T_2$	11.92hi	20.00 g	5.95 c	7.10 c	0.18 hi	0.14 ij	650.23de	1416.60e	792.00efg
V <sub>2</sub> T3	11.57hi	19.11gh	4.92efg	6.30 de	0.14 I	0.10 k	599.03e	1283.76e	734.00hi
$V_2T_4$	12.13 h	20.00 g	5.59cde	7.00 c	0.25fgh	0.13 j	647.30de	1390.68e	768.00fgh
$V_3T_1$	15.42cd	26.01 d	5.57cde	7.22 c	0.34 fgh	0.22 d	767.97bc	1647.36b	1019.00a
$V_3T_2$	13.45fg	22.25 f	5.16def	6.50 d	0.24bcd	0.20 e	679.15d	1407.60e	966.00b
V <sub>3</sub> T3	12.77 gh	20.11 g	3.87 I	5.00 gh	0.23 bc	0.15 hi	608.03e	1237.32fg	805.00ef
$V_3T_4$	12.61gh	21.50 f	4.62fgh	6.00 e	0.34 b	0.18 f	633.70de	1360.08e	851.60d
$V_4T_1$	17.74 a	32.67 a	6.68 b	7.92 b	0.43 a	0.34 a	894.85a	1800.00a	824.00de
$V_4T_2$	16.42bc	30.12 b	5.73 cd	7.00 c	0.41 a	0.29 b	813.00b	1652.85b	800.00ef
V <sub>4</sub> T3	16.97 ab	28.12 c	5.10def	5.99 e	0.32 ab	0.20 e	806.60b	1487.16d	720.00i
$V_4T_4$	15.11dc	29.59 b	5.15def	6.50 d	0.38 d-g	0.26 c	743.22c	1578.60c	755.00ghi
$V_5T_1$	7.58 k	10.98 k	4.39ghi	5.53 f	0.26 efg	0.20 e	440.83h	896.04ij	427.20j
$V_5T_2$	7.58 k	10.11kl	4.32ghi	5.11g	0.27 efg	0.18 f	438.60h	813.60kl	416.20j
V <sub>5</sub> T3	6.39 k	8.55 m	2.37 k	4.00 j	0.34bcd	0.17 fg	328.15i	691.92m	320.001
$V_5T_4$	6.73k	9.25 ml	3.08 j	4.60 hi	0.27defg	0.18 f	364.08i	760.681	365.00k
Level of significance	**	**	*	**	**	**	*	**	**

Table-3 Interaction effect of trees and mungbean varieties on biomass contribution as green manuring crop in agroforestry system

### References

Crookston, R.K., Trehame, K.J., Ludford, P. and Ozbun, J.I. 1975. Response of beans to shading.

Crop Sci. 15:412-416.

Kumar, A., Singh, D.P., Singh, P. and Phogat, B.S. 1988. Evaluation of mungbean genotypes for suitability to intercrop in pearl millet. Proc. 2<sup>nd</sup> Intr. Symp., Thailand. P. 95-100.

- Miah, M.G., Garrity, D.Y. and agron, M.L. 1995. Light availability, to the understorey annual crops in an Agroforestry system. In Sinoquet, H. and Cruz, P. (ed) *Ecophysiology of tropical inter cropping*. IRNA Editions, Paries, France.
- Planchon, C. 1979. Photosynthesis, transpiration, resistance to CO<sub>2</sub> transfer and water efficiency of

flag leaf of broad wheat, durum wheat and triticale. Euphytica 28:403-408

- Rahman, M. M. and Miah, A. A. 1988. Mungbean in bangladesh problems and prospects. In mungbean: Asian Vegetable Research Development Centre, Shanlua, Taiwan.
- Sattar, M. A. and Ahmed, S. U. 1992. Response of mungbean (Vigna radiata L. Wilczek) to nodulation with Bradyrhizobium as affected by phosphorus levels. Proc. Commi. IV Conf. Bangladesh. pp. 419-423.
- Ullah, M. J., Karim, M. F., Bhuiyan, M. S. U. and Ali, M. H. 2002. Crop production environment and management. H.M.S press. Fakirapul, Dhaka. pp. 320-326.
- UNFPA. 2005. Statistical Year Book of UNFP